

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/728,597	12/05/2003	Toshiharu Enmei	ADACHI P192USD5 8959	
20210 7	590 01/25/2006		EXAMINER	
DAVIS & BUJOLD, P.L.L.C.			NGUYEN, MADELEINE ANH VINH	
FOURTH FLOOR 500 N. COMMERCIAL STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MANCHESTE	R, NH 03101-1151		2626	
			DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/728,597	ENMEI, TOSHIHARU			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Madeleine AV Nguyen	2626			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repication. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH, by statute, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 07 November 2005				
	This action is non-final.				
·=	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
closed in accordance with the practice					
Disposition of Claims	,, .,	,			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-32</u> is/are pending in the ap	nnlication				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	withdrawn from Consideration.				
6) Claim(s) <u>21, 23-27, 29-32</u> is/are rejecte	ed.				
7) Claim(s) <u>22 and 28</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election requirement				
Application Papers	wanasa araanan raqan amana.				
· ·					
9) The specification is objected to by the E					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a					
Applicant may not request that any objection		- •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority do		olication No			
Copies of the certified copies of t					
application from the International	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certified copies not re	ceived.			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sun	nmary (PTO-413)			
?) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	-948) Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	O/SB/08) 5)	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on November 7, 2005 with respect to claims 21-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 21, 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Paajanen et al (US Patent No. 5,189,632).

Concerning claim 21, Paajanen et al discloses a portable communicator (Figs. 1-3) comprising a wireless communication device (antenna) which is wirelessly connected to a communication channel; a portable computer device (personal workstation) to enter data from the communication channel through the wireless communication device and to transmit data to the communication channel through the wireless communication device (col. 4, lines 11-37); an image input device (keyboard, function keys and display screen) to input an image; a telephone device (mobile phone) which conducts transmission and reception between a telephone network and the portable communicator, wherein a body of the portable communicator equipped with the portable computer device comprises a switch (shifting part for shifting the keyboard member

Art Unit: 2626

from computer use to mobile phone use), a display unit (display screen) for showing a menu screen to command to input an image using the image input device, and a direction changing device which holds the image input device and enables the image input device to change directions in comparison with the direction of the body (Abstract; col. 1, lines 13-49; col. 2, line 15 - col. 3, line 18).

It is noted that the input device such as the display screen, keyboard, function keys can input image such as character, commands, and image received through the modem of the personal computer or stored in the computer.

Concerning claims 25-26, Paajanen et al further teaches that at least one of the devices is constituted as an individual device connected to the other device and a housing which contains the devices assembled therein (Figs. 1-3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 23-24, 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paajanen et al as applied to claims 21, 27 above, and further in view of Braitberg et al (US Patent No. 5,479,479).

Concerning claims 23-24, Paajanen et al fails to teach a location detection device which detects an actual location of the portable communicator using global Positioning System.

Braitberg et al discloses a portable communicator (Fig. 15) comprising a location detection detection device (284) for detecting an actual location of the portable communicator using GPS (col. 14, lines 20-43). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the above teaching of Braitberg et al to the system in Paajanen since both of them teach the same field of endeavor for practical use of locating the communicator since Paajanen teaches that the additional of other functions can also be integrated to the workstation (col. 3, line 19 – col. 4, line 3).

6. Claims 27, 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paajanen et al (US Patent No. 5,189,632).

Concerning claim 27, Paajanen et al discloses a portable communicator (Figs.1-3) comprising a wireless communication device (antenna) which is wirelessly connected to a communication channel; a portable computer device (personal workstation) to enter data from the communication channel through the wireless communication device and to transmit data to the communication channel through the wireless communication device (col. 4, lines 11-37); an image input device (keyboard, function keys and display screen) to input an image; a telephone device (mobile phone) which conducts transmission and reception between a telephone network and the portable communicator, wherein a body of the portable communicator equipped with the portable computer device comprises a switch (shifting part for shifting the keyboard member from computer use to mobile phone use), a display unit (display screen) for showing a menu

Art Unit: 2626

screen to command to input an image using the image input device, and a direction changing device which holds the image input device and enables the image input device to change directions in comparison with the direction of the body (Abstract; col. 1, lines 13-49; col. 2, line 15 - col. 3, line 18).

It is noted that the input device such as the display screen, keyboard, function keys can input image such as character, commands, and image received through the keyboard or previously saved in the computer.

Paajanen does not directly teach that the image input device is capturing a photographic image. However, Panjanen teaches, "For data transmission by radio, the device has a built-in modem. As examples of standard equipments of the workstation of the invention can be ... a VGA graphics display, a hard disk based on fast EEPROM as well as software for data communication." (col. 3, lines 13-18). Thus, the computer system in Paajanen can receive photographic image which can be displayed on the display screen. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to consider the system in Paajanen has an input device which can capture a photographic image since it has functions working as a regular personal computer.

Concerning claims 31-32, Paajanen et al further teaches that at least one of the devices is constituted as an individual device connected to the other device and a housing which contains the devices assembled therein (Figs.1-3).

Application/Control Number: 10/728,597

Art Unit: 2626

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 6

1. Claims 22 and 28 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: claims 22 and 28 are objected over the prior art of record because the Examiner found neither prior art cited in its entirety, nor based on the prior art, found any motivation to combine any of the said prior art which teaches a portable communicator in claims 21 and 27 wherein the image input device is a camera.

Conclusion

Claims 21, 23-27, 29-32 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Madeleine AV Nguyen whose telephone number is 571 272-7466. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly A. Williams can be reached on 571 272-7471. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/728,597

Art Unit: 2626

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

January 21, 2006

Madeleine AV Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2626